Efficacy and Safety of the Mosquitocidal Drug Ivermectin to Prevent Malaria Transmission After Treatment: A Double-Blind, Randomized, Clinical Trial
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Background. Artemisinin combination therapy effectively clears asexual malaria parasites and immature gametocytes but does not prevent posttreatment malaria transmission. Ivermectin (IVM) may reduce malaria transmission by killing mosquitoes that take blood meals from IVM-treated humans.

Methods. In this double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, 120 asymptomatic Plasmodium falciparum parasite carriers were randomized to receive artemether-lumefantrine (AL) plus placebo or AL plus a single or repeated dose (200 µg/kg) of ivermectin (AL-IVM1 and AL-IVM2, respectively). Mosquito membrane feeding was performed 1, 3, and 7 days after initiation of treatment to determine Anopheles gambiae and Anopheles funestus survival and infection rates.

Results. The AL-IVM combination was well tolerated. IVM resulted in a 4- to 7-fold increased mortality in mosquitoes feeding 1 day after IVM (P < .001). Day 7 IVM plasma levels were positively associated with body mass index (r = 0.57, P < .001) and were higher in female participants (P = .003), for whom An. gambiae mosquito mortality was increased until 7 days after a single dose of IVM (hazard rate ratio, 1.34 [95% confidence interval, 1.07–1.69]; P = .012). Although we found no evidence that IVM reduced Plasmodium infection rates among surviving mosquitoes, the mosquitocidal effect of AL-IVM1 and AL-IVM2 resulted in 27% and 35% reductions, respectively, in estimated malaria transmission potential during the first week after initiation of treatment.

Conclusions. We conclude that IVM can be safely given in combination with AL and can reduce the likelihood of malaria transmission by reducing the life span of feeding mosquitoes.
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The transmission of Plasmodium from humans to mosquitoes depends on the presence of mature transmission stages, gametocytes. Once ingested, gametocytes may render mosquitoes infectious within 11–16 days after a blood meal [1]. Artemisinin combination therapy (ACT) forms the current first-line treatment for uncomplicated falciparum malaria. ACT rapidly clears asexual parasites and developing gametocytes but leaves mature P. falciparum gametocytes largely unaffected; a proportion of patients may transmit malaria after successful ACT treatment [2]. Strategies to prevent malaria transmission after ACT have received a sense of urgency with the emergence of artemisinin resistance in Southeast Asia [3, 4] and have
mainly focused on supplementing ACT with gametocytocidal compounds [5–7]. An alternative approach to prevent post-treatment malaria transmission is to reduce the likelihood that mosquitoes that feed on gametocyte-infected human hosts survive long enough to become infectious to other humans. Ivermectin (IVM) reduces the life span of *Anopheles* mosquitoes that feed on humans who have taken IVM [8, 9] by activating glutamate-gated chloride channels in neuronal and neuromuscular tissues of invertebrates, thereby causing flaccid muscle paralysis [10]. IVM has an excellent safety profile in human, allowing IVM to be used in mass drug administration campaigns to reduce the burden of onchocerciasis and lymphatic filariasis in Africa. IVM has never been tested in a clinical trial setting in malaria-infected individuals or in combination with ACT.

In this study, we report a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial to determine the safety and impact of IVM, administered as single or repeated dose, in combination with artemether-lumefantrine (AL) in reducing the proportion of mosquitoes that survive sufficiently long to complete the sporogonic cycle of malaria.

**METHODS**

**Study Design and Participants**

This trial was conducted from January until March 2013 in Balogun, Burkina Faso. Individuals aged 15–25 were eligible if found to be infected with *P. falciparum* by microscopy and otherwise healthy. Exclusion criteria were ≥20 000 malaria parasites/µL; severe malaria; fever (axillary temperature ≥37.5°C); hemoglobin concentration <11 g/dL; use of IVM within the previous 3 months; *Loa loa* or other filariasis infection; travel history to *L. loa*-endemic areas; pregnancy or lactation; current tuberculosis or antiretroviral treatment; family history of congenital QTc interval prolongation or sudden death; use of drugs that influence cardiac function or prolong QTc interval; or electrolyte imbalance. Written informed consent was obtained. The trial was approved by the Interventions Research Ethics Committee of the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (reference number 50001020125EC0000). The study was also approved by the Examen des Demandes d’Autorisation d’Essais Cliniques, Ministère de la Santé du Burkina Faso (reference number 50001020125EC0000).

**Randomization and Masking**

Included subjects (*n* = 120) were randomly assigned to 1 of 3 treatment arms and 1 of 2 membrane feeding schedules. A first set of 40 sealed envelopes contained cards indicating treatment with AL alone (AL, *n* = 20) or AL with a single dose of IVM (AL-IVM1, *n* = 20). After reviewing safety data, 80 additional participants were randomized to AL (n = 20), AL-IVM1 (n = 20), or AL with a repeated treatment dose of IVM (AL-IVM2, *n* = 40). Half of each treatment arm was allocated to membrane feeding on days 1 and 7, others to days 3 and 7.

**Procedures**

All subjects received 6 doses of 4 tablets of AL (Coartem [20 mg artemether and 120 mg lumefantrine], Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland) at enrollment and after 8 hours (day 0), 24 and 36 hours (day 1), and 48 and 60 hours (day 2) (±90 minutes). In the AL arm, the first and fifth dose of AL were given together with placebo tablets (Albochin, Pharmachemie BV, Haarlem, the Netherlands); in the AL-IVM1 arm, the first dose of AL was given with IVM (Stromectol, Merck Sharp & Dohme BV, Haarlem, the Netherlands) and the fifth dose of AL together with placebo. In the AL-IVM2 arm, both the first and fifth dose of AL were given together with IVM. IVM was given as 3-mg tablets aiming for a dose of 200 µg/kg. All treatment was administered under direct supervision, with 1 sachet of Nestle NIDO powdered milk (containing 7.28 g of milkfat) dissolved in water to enhance bioavailability of AL [11].

Participants were examined clinically on days 1, 2, 3, and 7 after enrollment. Blood samples were taken for microscopy (days 0, 3, and 7), standard hematological and biochemical parameters (days 0 and 7), membrane feeding assays (days 1 and 7 or days 3 and 7), pharmacological assessment (days of membrane feeds), and gametocyte detection by Pfs25 messenger RNA quantitative nucleic acid sequence–based amplification (QT-NASBA; days 0, 3, and 7) [7].

Membrane feeding assays were conducted as described elsewhere [12] using 100–150 locally reared 4- to 5-day-old female *Anopheles gambiae* sensu stricto mosquitoes and 50–70 four- to 5-day-old *Anopheles funestus* mosquitoes. Because of mosquito husbandry limitations, experiments with An. funestus were done with a smaller number of mosquitoes and on days 1 and 3 only. Fully fed mosquitoes were kept on glucose for 10 days at 27°C–29°C to monitor daily mosquito mortality. *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes that survived until day 10, when residual DNA from the blood meal is highly unlikely [13, 14], were individually homogenized and processed for detection of *P. falciparum* oocysts or sporozoites by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [14]. On day 7, lumefantrine plasma concentrations were determined for 20 randomly selected individuals per treatment arm [15]; on days 1, 3, and 7, IVM plasma concentrations were determined for all individuals participating in membrane feeding experiments using high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection and a sensitivity of 0.2 ng IVM/mL [16].

**Outcome Measures**

The study objective was to determine the safety and efficacy of IVM in combination with AL in reducing the proportion of...
mosquitoes that survive long enough to complete the sporogonic cycle of *P. falciparum*. The primary efficacy endpoint was the survival of *An. gambiae* and *An. funestus* mosquitoes after taking a blood meal 1, 3, or 7 days after initiation of treatment. Plasma concentrations of AL and IVM after treatment and mosquito infection rates were secondary outcome measures. The associations of IVM plasma concentrations with sex and BMI were not initially defined in the study protocol.

### Statistical Analysis

For the primary efficacy outcome, individual mosquito data were analyzed by Cox proportional hazard models with shared frailty to allow for the correlation between mosquito observations from the same donor. Cumulative mosquito mortality by day 10 after feeding was determined for each individual membrane feeding experiment, log_{10}-transformed, and compared with the AL reference arm using *t* test. IVM (days 1, 3, 7) and lumefantrine plasma concentrations (day 7) were compared between groups using nonparametric Wilcoxon-rank sum test. Proportions were compared between arms by $\chi^2$ test, associations between continuous variables were determined by Spearman correlation coefficients, and the association between sex and IVM plasma concentrations was determined by Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

The impact of IVM on transmission from patients during the first week after initiation of treatment was estimated using data from a clinical trial with detailed gametocyte quantification after AL [7], a meta-analysis of the association between gametocyte concentration and *An. gambiae* mosquito infection rates [17], and *An. gambiae* mosquito survivorship in relation to IVM concentration. Assuming that a similar number of mosquitoes would feed on individuals from treatment arms and on all days of follow-up, the impact of IVM at reducing the number of infectious mosquitoes can be calculated as

$$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{7} (g_i p_i \mu_i^{AL}) - \sum_{i=0}^{7} (g_i p_i \mu_i^{IVM})}{\sum_{i=0}^{7} (g_i p_i \mu_i^{AL})},$$

where $g_i$ is the gametocyte prevalence at each day of follow up (i) after treatment, $p_i$ is the proportion of mosquito infection in feeding assays with gametocyte levels at day $i$, and $\mu_i$ is the proportion of mosquito survival up to day 10.

### Sample Size Calculation

This study was designed as a superiority trial, testing mosquito mortality in the 2 IVM arms against the AL comparator arm. The study sample size was based on 80–100 fully fed *An. gambiae* sensu stricto mosquitoes and ≤20% mortality in the control arm [12]. Including 20 individuals per time-point would allow us to detect an increase in mortality to ≥50% after 1 or 2 doses of IVM compared to the control arm (k = 0.5; $Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96; Z_{\beta} = 0.84$). For day 7, we expected the smallest difference in mortality and aimed for 40 experiments per treatment arm.

### RESULTS

#### Trial Profile and Baseline Characteristics

Of 120 randomized individuals, 117 completed follow-up (Figure 1). Baseline asexual parasite densities ranged from 8 to 7063 parasites/µL (Table 1); all participants cleared their asexual parasites by day 3. Gametocyte prevalence by QT-NASBA declined from 91.9% (102/111) at baseline to 54.9% (62/113) by day 3 and 41.8% (43/103) by day 7 with no significant difference between treatment arms ($P \geq .81$).

#### Safety Results

Twenty-two adverse events (AEs) occurred; 10 AEs were ranked as mild and 12 as moderate in intensity (Table 2). None of the AEs were definitively associated with treatment and no serious AEs were seen. Platelet counts declined in 2 subjects during follow-up. In 1 subject of the AL group, platelets decreased from 327 000/µL at enrollment to 82 800/µL on day 7. This subject refused to return to the clinic for extra follow-up and was followed passively. In 1 subject in the AL-IVM1 group, platelets decreased from 191 000/µL at enrollment to 82 800/µL on day 7, and returned to 218 000/µL when measured 20 days later. There were no other clinically significant hematological and biochemical abnormalities.

#### Efficacy Results

The median number of fully fed *An. gambiae* mosquitoes was 94 per experiment (interquartile range [IQR], 92–96) and not different between treatment arms ($P = .15$), giving 22 818 mosquito observations from 233 experiments conducted on days 1, 3, and 7 posttreatment. The median number of fully fed *An. funestus* mosquitoes was 23 per experiment (IQR, 23–25) and not different between treatment arms ($P = .19$), giving 2469 mosquito observations from 102 experiments conducted on days 1 and 3. *Anopheles gambiae* mortality was significantly increased on day 1 after single-dose IVM (hazard rate ratio [HRR], 3.86 [95% confidence interval (CI), 3.29–4.52]; $P < .001$), day 3 after single-dose IVM (HRR, 1.37 [95% CI, 1.14–1.65]; $P = .001$), day 3 after repeated-dose IVM (HRR, 4.07 [95% CI, 3.41–4.87]; $P < .001$), and day 7 after repeated-dose IVM (HRR, 1.30 [95% CI, 1.10–1.53]; $P = .002$), but not day 7 after single-dose IVM (HRR, 0.93 [95% CI, .79–1.11]; $P = .43$) (Figure 2A). Similarly, *An. funestus* mosquito mortality was significantly increased on day 1 after single-dose IVM (HRR, 7.12 [95% CI, 4.45–11.39]; $P < .001$), day 3 after single-dose IVM (HRR, 2.98 [95% CI, 1.62–5.48]; $P < .001$), and day 3 after repeated-dose IVM (HRR, 9.07 [95% CI, 5.06–16.25];
P < .001) (Figure 2B). Geometric mean cumulative *An. gambiae* mosquito mortality by day 10 after membrane feeding was 21.2% (95% CI, 18.5%–24.3%) in the AL arm; 59.1% (95% CI, 53.3%–65.6%; P < .001) on day 1 after single-dose IVM, 31.1% (95% CI, 26.5%–36.5%; P = .001) on day 3 after single-dose IVM; 66.2% (95% CI, 58.5%–74.9%; P < .001) on day 3 after repeated-dose IVM; 21.7% (95% CI, 18.5%–25.4%; P = .82) on day 7 after single-dose IVM; and 26.7% (95% CI, 23.2%–30.7%; P = .013) on day 7 after repeated-dose IVM. Geometric mean cumulative *An. funestus* mosquito mortality was 5.0% (95% CI, 3.2%–7.8%) in the AL arm; 40.0% (95% CI, 26.8%–59.8%; P < .001) on day 1 after single-dose IVM; 10.9% (95% CI, 5.4%–22.0%; P = .033) on day 3 after single-dose IVM, and 51.4% (95% CI, 37.7%–69.9%; P < .001) on day 3 after repeated-dose IVM.

Median lumefantrine concentration was 685 ng/mL (IQR, 474–894 ng/mL) in the AL arm, 634 ng/mL (IQR, 420–818 ng/mL) in the AL-IVM1 arm, and 449 ng/mL (IQR, 385–734 ng/mL) in the...
Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Enrolled Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>AL (n = 40)</th>
<th>AL-IVM1 (n = 40)</th>
<th>AL-IVM2 (n = 40)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, median (IQR)</td>
<td>18.5 (16.0–21.3)</td>
<td>16.0 (15.0–20.0)</td>
<td>17.0 (16.0–18.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male, % (n/N)</td>
<td>65.0% (26/40)</td>
<td>45.0% (18/40)</td>
<td>70.0% (28/40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin, g/dL, median (IQR)</td>
<td>13.3 (12.0–14.4)</td>
<td>13.2 (12.3–13.9)</td>
<td>12.9 (12.3–13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasitemia by microscopy, parasites/µL, median (IQR)</td>
<td>109.5 (38.3–222.0)</td>
<td>87.0 (28.0–203.5)</td>
<td>134.0 (45.0–406.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gametocyte prevalence by microscopy, % (n/N)</td>
<td>20.0 (8/40)</td>
<td>12.5 (5/40)</td>
<td>12.5 (5/40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gametocyte prevalence by QT-NASBA, % (n/N)</td>
<td>97.2% (35/36)</td>
<td>86.8% (33/38)</td>
<td>91.9% (34/37)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AL, artemether-lumefantrine; IQR, interquartile range; IVM1, single-dose ivermectin; IVM2, repeated-dose ivermectin; QT-NASBA, quantitative nucleic acid sequence–based amplification.

AL-IVM2 arm (P = .28). IVM plasma concentrations declined quickly after the last dose of IVM (Figure 3A) and were significantly higher in female than in male participants when measured on day 3 after single-dose IVM (P = .02) and day 7 after single-dose (P = .007) or repeated-dose IVM (P = .003). IVM accumulates in fat tissue [18] and the proportion body fat is positively associated with BMI. BMI was associated with IVM plasma concentration on day 3 (IVM1: n = 18, r = 0.64, P = .004; IVM2: n = 20, r = 0.19, P = .42), and day 7 (IVM1: n = 37, r = 0.73, P < .0001; IVM2: n = 40, r = 0.49, P = .001; Figure 3B) but not on day 1. Female participants had a higher mean BMI than male participants (difference of means, 1.12 kg/m² [95% CI, 0.54–1.71 kg/m²]; P = .0002). IVM plasma concentrations were strongly associated with cumulative mortality by day 10 after the blood meal for An. gambiae (r = 0.75, P < .0001; Figure 4) and An. funestus (r = 0.48, P < .0001). When stratified by sex, the lethal effect of IVM on An. gambiae was more pronounced and longer in women (Table 3). The number of An. funestus observations was 9-fold lower than for An. gambiae and considered too limited to allow robust sex-stratified analysis.

Individual An. gambiae mosquitoes from 68 membrane feeds performed on days 1 and 7 on Ps25 QT-NASBA–confirmed gametocyte carriers were successfully analyzed by PCR. Remaining assays failed because of freeze–thaws of mosquito samples, giving noninterpretable results. In total, only 0.8% (13/1619) of the successfully assayed mosquitoes were P. falciparum positive: 0.7% (4/560) in the AL arm, 0.5% (3/556) in the AL-IVM1 arm, and 1.2% (6/503) in the AL-IVM2 arm. Supporting in vitro experiments found no apparent effect of sublethal IVM concentrations on P. falciparum development in Anopheles stephensi and An. gambiae mosquitoes (Supplementary Data 1).

We combined our longitudinal data on IVM concentrations (Figure 3), our data on the association between IVM concentration and An. gambiae mosquito survivorship (Figure 4), previously published data on gametocyte prevalence and density following treatment of symptomatic malaria patients [7], and a meta-analysis of the association between gametocyte density and mosquito infection rates [17] to estimate the potential impact of IVM on onward malaria transmission in the first week after initiation of treatment (Supplementary Data 2). Despite the incomplete and short-lived mosquitocidal effect of IVM, mosquito survivorship is significantly reduced in the first days after treatment when gametocyte concentrations are highest and onward transmission is most likely. Compared to the AL–only arm, we estimated that individuals in the AL-IVM1 and AL-IVM2 arms had a 27.2% and 35.4% reduction, respectively, in their contribution to transmission in the first week after initiation of treatment (Figure 5).
DISCUSSION

In this study, the AL-IVM combination was safe and significantly reduced the survival of 2 major malaria vectors in sub-Saharan Africa, *An. gambiae* and *An. funestus*. The mosquitocidal effect of IVM was apparent 3–7 days after a single dose depending on volunteer sex, with a more pronounced effect when mosquitoes fed on blood from female participants.

The continued move toward malaria elimination has reinvigorated the search for strategies to prevent the spread of malaria, bolstered by a sense of urgency from the threat of artemisinin resistance [4]. Our findings confirm that IVM reduces the life span of different malaria vectors [19], including 2 dominant and important vectors in sub-Saharan Africa, *An. gambiae* and *An. funestus*. The proportion of *An. gambiae* (A) or *An. funestus* (B) that survive in 10 days following membrane feeding in various treatment arms at days 1, 3, and 7 was combined for artesether-lumefantrine (AL); day 1 was combined for AL with single-dose ivermectin (IVM1) and AL with repeated-dose ivermectin (IVM2) as this was before the second dose of ivermectin.

**Figure 2.** Mosquito survival rate in relation to treatment arm for *Anopheles gambiae* and *Anopheles funestus*. The proportion of *An. gambiae* (A) or *An. funestus* (B) that survive in 10 days following membrane feeding in various treatment arms at days 1, 3, and 7 was combined for artesether-lumefantrine (AL); day 1 was combined for AL with single-dose ivermectin (IVM1) and AL with repeated-dose ivermectin (IVM2) as this was before the second dose of ivermectin.

**Figure 3.** Ivermectin (IVM) plasma concentrations in relation to the sex of participants and body mass index (BMI). A, Sex-stratified ivermectin plasma concentration for the different treatment arms and time-points of follow-up. B, Association between BMI and day 7 ivermectin plasma concentrations for male (gray dots; n = 45; r = 0.52, P = .0002) and female (n = 32; r = 0.37, P = .037) participants. The limit of detection of the assay was 0.2 ng/mL (dashed line); plasma samples with undetectable IVM concentrations are given below the line.
and *An. funestus*. Mosquito mortality was 4- to 7-fold increased in mosquitoes that took a blood meal 1 day after IVM. Mosquito mortality was associated with IVM plasma concentrations that decreased markedly during the week after IVM treatment [20]. The waning of the mosquitocidal effect of a single or repeated dose of IVM was slower for female participants, in line with a higher IVM bioavailability in females [21]. The accumulation of IVM in fat tissue [18] and the strong association between BMI and day 7 IVM plasma concentrations suggests that body fat may act as a slow-release reservoir that results in a longer effective half-life of IVM in female participants.

Our findings indicate that higher, repeated doses or sustained presence of drug may be needed for maximal effect. Although IVM is currently recommended as single dose of 200 µg/kg with an excellent safety profile [22], there have been reports where IVM was used repeatedly at higher concentrations [23, 24]. We confirmed the tolerability of repeated IVM dosing in a small group of malaria-infected individuals and found no evidence that coadministration of IVM affects the bioavailability of lumefantrine. The primary safety concern for IVM is encephalopathy in individuals heavily infected with microfilariae of *L. loa* [25] and precludes the use of IVM without prior screening for *L. loa* in endemic areas of Central and West Africa.

Even in individuals with the highest IVM plasma concentrations, the mosquitocidal effect of IVM was not complete and a proportion of mosquitoes survived until day 10. *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites were detected in a small proportion of these surviving mosquitoes. Although our findings do not rule out a sporontocidal effect of IVM, which would require a larger study that is powered for infectivity outcomes, it indicates that malaria transmission potential is not completely abrogated by the AL-IVM combination. If IVM has no impact on gametocytes or their infectivity, its transmission-blocking effect is restricted to its capacity to reduce malaria survivorship in the days immediately following treatment. We estimated that single and repeated doses of IVM may lead to 27% and 35% reductions in posttreatment malaria transmission from symptomatic malaria patients in the first week after treatment with an effective antimalarial.

### Table 3. Hazard Rate Ratios for *Anopheles gambiae* Mortality on Different Days After Initiation of Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Male Participants</th>
<th>Female Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hazard Rate Ratio</td>
<td>P Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No ivermectin</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1, IVM1 &amp; IVM2</td>
<td>3.29 (2.67–4.07)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3, IVM1</td>
<td>1.39 (1.04–1.85)</td>
<td>.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3, IVM2</td>
<td>3.54 (2.81–4.46)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7, IVM1</td>
<td>0.70 (0.55–0.89)</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7, IVM2</td>
<td>1.14 (0.92–1.42)</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hazard rate ratios were determined relative to the artemether-lumefantrine placebo arm and adjusted for the correlation between observations from the same individual.

Abbreviations: IVM1, single-dose ivermectin; IVM2, repeated-dose ivermectin; ref, reference.
IVM efficacy and be adequately powered to study subtle effects of IVM on sporogonic development. Most important, community trials with repeated doses of IVM are needed to confirm that IVM forms a useful adjunct to reduce and interrupt transmission [27].

In conclusion, our study indicates an incomplete but pronounced effect of IVM on the survival of malaria vectors after IVM ingestion. This effect can be extended by repeated dosing and is associated with the BMI of treated individuals. We observed no evidence for a sporontocidal effect of IVM at mosquito sublethal concentrations in P. falciparum–infected individuals. The transmission-blocking properties of IVM may therefore be restricted to its mosquitocidal effects.
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